

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/754,551             | YAMAKADO ET AL.     |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | Steven R. Garland      | 2125                |  |

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** pending

 (1) Steven R. Garland.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Ms. Saltiel.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 September 2005
**Time:** morning
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

3,4

Prior art documents discussed:


none

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*the examiner proposed to make certain changes to the application by examiner's amendment to place the application in better form for allowance. The proposed changes are set forth in the examiner's amendment*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)